Experience: Android Resists Liberation from Its Primary Use Case
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ABSTRACT
Network connectivity is often one of the most challenging aspects of deploying sensors. In many countries, cellular networks provide the most reliable, highest bandwidth, and greatest coverage option for internet access. While this makes smartphones a seemingly ideal platform to serve as a gateway between sensors and the cloud, we find that a device designed for multi-tenant operation and frequent human interaction becomes unreliable when tasked to continuously run a single application with no human interaction, a seemingly counter-intuitive result. Further, we find that economy phones cannot physically withstand continuous operation, resulting in a surprisingly high rate of permanent device failures in the field. If these observations hold more broadly, they would make mobile phones poorly suited to a range of sensing applications for which they have been rumored to hold great promise.

1 INTRODUCTION
This paper presents our experiences with a four-month deployment of Android phone-based cellular gateways in Zanzibar, Tanzania. The deployment explored the feasibility of a low-cost, real-time, accurate AC power measurement system built around a commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) plug load power monitor and smartphone, shown in Figure 1. This work highlights the experiences, lessons learned, and empirical evaluation of the viability of using consumer Android phones as gateways for sensor deployments in the real world.

Cellular networks provide a global, low-cost, reliable, and high-bandwidth network backhaul that is ideally suited for the data collection, maintenance, and operational needs of remote sensor nodes [10, 23]. Unfortunately, for many applications, sensor nodes are not able to directly connect to a cellular network. Cellular modems draw considerable power, add monetary cost, impose form factor constraints, and increase implementation complexity. Additionally, they require a SIM card, which must be registered and maintained with a cellular network provider or virtual network operator. When per-node access to a cellular network is not an option, a gateway architecture allows multiple sensor nodes to access a cellular network by connecting to a single cellular gateway via a lower-energy, lower-cost communication protocol such as WiFi or Bluetooth Low Energy [16].

Smartphones are attractive as gateways because they connect to the cellular network and offer a rich application platform, powerful processors, WiFi and Bluetooth radios, large storage capabilities, complementary integrated sensors, over-the-air updates, and a well-known and multilingual user interface, all with a price point only achievable at scale. Further, contract-enabled upgrades and rapid market growth have resulted in potentially gateway-capable phones sitting unused and unrecycled [11, 13, 27]. Repurposing smartphones as gateways could extract value from hundreds of millions of devices currently considered to be e-waste [28].

Unfortunately, our experience suggests that many of the expected benefits from building a phone-based gateway are either unobtainable or difficult to achieve in practice. Issues arise from the fundamental tension of converting a general-purpose, user-facing platform into a single-purpose, remotely-supervised device. Android optimizations, particularly automatic process termination, which improve the normal user experience, are problematic for long-running gateway apps. Ensuring unsupervised recovery after failures...
A commercial off-the-shelf plug-load power meter (WiTenergy E110) uses an unmodified Android phone (Samsung J1 Ace running Android 4.4.4) in 16 households in Zanzibar, Tanzania. A gateway app connects to the power meter over Bluetooth Low Energy and forwards the power data from the sensor to a cloud server. The gateway app also appends metadata such as GPS, system time, sensor ID, and gateway software version. The phone is encased in a plastic box and the system runs unattended.

is difficult on a platform that assumes the existence of a user to initiate actions and respond to prompts. Since most phone users have a small number of devices which they manage directly, infrastructure to manage small cellular fleets can be limited, expensive, and dependent on the newest versions of a mobile OS [8, 22, 24]. Moreover, the challenges of simply keeping a cellular phone connected to a cellular network are non-trivial. We also encounter other significant issues, including bugs in the Android operating system (particularly the Bluetooth Low Energy stack) and physical failures of Android hardware. As a result, we conclude that the benefits of using COTS Android devices may not outweigh the costs of tricking Android to support long-running, always-on applications with no human interaction.

We conclude by identifying a set of high-level Android platform changes that would free out-of-use phones from multi-tenant design. These changes could be distributed using existing channels, allowing abandoned phones across the world to be more easily repurposed as single-tenant application gateways for the next generation of sensor networks.

2 RELATED WORK

Academic and commercial projects alike have successfully leveraged smartphones as gateways [3, 4, 12, 15, 21]. For example, the Pogo middleware project was deployed for 24 days on Android 2.1 and allowed phones to be more easily used as always-on sensors [3]. Similarly, Cenceme was deployed for 21 days on the Nokia 95 platform to perform continual sensing and activity classification [21]. Piggyback Crowd Sensing ran for 25 days as a background system service on unspecified Android phones [19].

However, these applications have frequently operated under the assumption of tight association between a phone and a human, depending on the user to closely monitor and maintain the application’s health. In 2012, Beschastnikh et. al. proposed recycling smartphones under a loose association paradigm [2], which relaxes the assumption of frequent interactions between the human and the phone. In a loose association context, phones are mostly stationary, and apps are continuously-running, unsupervised, and characterized by machine-to-machine interactions. Repurposing old smartphones as long-running gateways would provide new life to abandoned hardware and keep potentially hundreds of millions of phones from becoming toxic e-waste.

Prior work has not evaluated the feasibility of using phones as sensor gateways under assumptions of loose association. Pogo, Cenceme, and Piggyback Crowd Sensing assumed each phone would be carried on a user, and relied on that user to clear messages and ensure that the phone continued to function. Additionally, each application ran for only a few weeks, potentially falling short of finding temporally distant failure states that could be catastrophic in a long-running deployment. These applications may also have benefited from running on fairly immature operating systems that had not yet begun to limit functionality of long-running processes to optimize for user experience and privacy [31, 33, 35].

Android Things, a branch of Android that supports embedded systems, may offer solutions, but is hard to port and install, does not support OTA updates through Google Play, and is on a less active development timeline [32]. PhoneLab took a promising approach to supporting loose association applications on COTS phones by modifying the Android platform to circumvent many of the issues stemming from OS features meant to protect the user experience, including garbage collection, restrictive permissions, and limited
application level APIs. Still, even with these modifications, PhoneLab relies on human intervention to overcome many types of failure states, breaking loose association [26].

As far as we know, this is the first work to report on the suitability of using stock Android to host gateway applications on phones deployed in a true loose association context. While we did not initially set out to explore this question, we were surprised by the degree to which the loose association conditions of our field research fundamentally impacted Android’s ability to function as a sensor gateway, motivating us to share our experiences and conclusions.

3 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Our exploration with phone-based sensor gateways was part of a deployment called PlugWatch. The study investigated:

(i) how power utility customers without advanced metering infrastructure perceive distribution-level energy reliability,

(ii) how power quality varies over time of day and as a function of distance to a transformer,

(iii) whether a low-cost cellular meter could be a reliable source of the data needed to answer these questions.

The PlugWatch deployment periodically sensed voltage, frequency, current, and on/off power state at an AC plug, and transmitted this information to a central service over the GSM cellular network. In collaboration with the Zanzibar Electricity Corporation, sixteen PlugWatch units were deployed in two villages in Zanzibar for four months.

The PlugWatch architecture is built on a COTS Android smartphone and a COTS plug load power meter, as shown in Figure 1. The decision to build around a smartphone was made with several expected benefits:

Reduce Development Time. By using a smartphone as the primary CPU, local database, and radio, we expected to reduce development time and costs compared to designing custom hardware. A phone’s components have been integrated at the hardware and software level, leaving only app composition, which benefits from mature development tools and comprehensive OS services that expose the device’s resources in a general-purpose manner.

Increase Reliability. Android and associated Google Services such as the Play Store [34] and Firebase [7] offer over-the-air updates and remote monitoring and configuration out of the box, promising better deployment management tools than could easily be written for a custom system.

Ease Deployment Hurdles. The interactive screen on a smartphone gateway is conducive to debugging and verifying local network connectivity. Signal strength is displayed in the status bar, and browser apps make checking internet connectivity as easy as opening a webpage. Additionally, local phone shops know how to manually configure Access Point Name (APN) settings to connect smartphones to the internet over a cellular provider.

Contrary to our initial expectations, we find that using an Android smartphone as a networking gateway for sensing applications increases development time, decreases reliability, and does not significantly reduce deployment hurdles. These findings are discussed in Sections 5 and 6.

3.1 Requirements

The design of the PlugWatch system, including the phone-based gateway, met a number of functional requirements.

Minimal Human Interaction. We decided to keep humans out-of-the-loop as much as possible over the course of the deployment to reduce the burden of participation and remove noise from incorrect actions from human operators.

Reliably Long-Running. Our experiment was intended to measure variance in voltage and power quality over the course of many months. To do this, we designed PlugWatch to run 24 hours a day. PlugWatch restarts the app or reboots the phone if the app hangs, fails to receive sensor data, or is notified of process or wireless stack failure.

Non-Obtrusive. PlugWatch was designed to be placed into households that often had few physical barriers to separate PlugWatch from participants. Because of this, we designed PlugWatch not to make noise, display lights, or otherwise cause annoyance for the participants. We also disabled all system sounds and vibrations in Android.

Real-Time Data Stream. Our partners at the utility were interested in receiving plug-load information in real time. This would allow PlugWatch to act as a simple prototype of a more traditional smart meter, and would let the utility experiment with how they might ingest and react to this new data stream. Further, this data stream gave us insight into the performance of PlugWatch, which was helpful for deployment management activities.

Low Budget. Our budget was modest and targeted a complete per-unit system cost of approximately $100 USD. This partially motivated our selection of what was (at the time) a more economical mid-range phone.

4 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

The implementation consisted of the fully-assembled PlugWatch unit and the data endpoints, as described below.

4.1 PlugWatch Unit

Each PlugWatch unit was comprised of several components: the physical connections and enclosure, the WiTenergy plug load sensor, the phone (including the most current available version of Android, a factory included battery, a SD card, and a SIM card), and the PlugWatch gateway app.
Figure 2: Plug load monitors and Android gateways before deployment. (a) The WiTenergy plug-load meters plug into an available wall outlet. (b) A phone plugs into the WiTenergy meter via a standard 5V DC USB adapter. (c) The cord from the adapter runs into a plastic box, which is padded with cotton balls and screwed shut. The phone sits in the box and runs the gateway application, forwarding data continuously from the WiTenergy to the cloud.

4.1.1 Physical Design. To discourage participant interaction, we placed each phone in a black plastic box. The box was filled with cotton to prevent the phone from freely moving. Finally, we placed stickers on the box instructing participants to not open it and screwed the box shut. A standard 5V Micro-USB charger was connected to the phone, run through a notch cut into the box, and then plugged into a WiTenergy E110 plug load meter. Each plug point was taped over to reduce the likelihood of accidental disconnection. The phone, box, plug, and WiTenergy were all labeled with a common unique ID. The pre-deployment PlugWatch units can be seen in Figure 2.

4.1.2 The PlugWatch Phone. We ran the PlugWatch app on a Samsung J110H phone running Android 4.4.4 [39]. We selected this phone because it was relatively low cost ($90.00 USD at time of purchase in Q4 2016), was widely available in-country, was of mid-range quality, and had reasonable resources for its price range (1.3 GHz, dual-core, 4 GB ROM). These phones came with Android 4.4.2 pre-installed, and we upgraded them to Android 4.4.4, the most current version available for this model at the time of deployment. The phone was packaged with a 1800mAh EB-BJ111ABE battery [38]. We purchased a homogeneous fleet of phones to minimize variance. Each PlugWatch phone also had an SD card for local logging, and was logged into a Google Account with its Play Store configured for automatic updates.

We also selected the J110H because it could be modified to turn back on if power was restored to the phone after the battery died. This functionality was necessary to recover a phone after a power outage long enough to fully drain the phone’s battery. We found after evaluating five different models that this modification (which requires replacing the charging image displayed when power is applied to a phone with a script to power on the phone) works exclusively with Samsung phones. The J110H was the least expensive Samsung phone available from local stores.

Figure 3: The back of an airtime card used to add airtime to a phone. A user scratches the card to reveal a code, then types in a Unstructured Supplementary Service Data (USSD) number, the # character, and then the code. If the code is accepted, the user will get a notification that the account has received a set amount of airtime. If the user wishes to use this airtime to connect to the internet most economically, they enter a second USSD code and select an internet bundle, which provides data that sunsets after a certain amount of time. If the user has enough credit, the bundle will be purchased. A user also checks their account balance via USSD. This interface scales poorly but can be, as in Tanzania, the only one available, making management of a large number of cellular gateways highly labor-intensive.

We used KingoRoot [17] to root each phone. Root access allowed us to effect the aforementioned “boot from off upon restoration of power” behavior and enabled the PlugWatch app to reboot the phone, which was necessary to recover from some BLE driver failures. Finally, it allowed for the installation of ClockworkMod recovery [5], a tool that allowed a gold-standard system image to be loaded onto each phone.

The smartphones were unlocked and could function on any of the mobile carriers in Zanzibar. All carriers offer prepaid data plans in which airtime is added to a SIM as needed and purchased as a code behind a scratch-off ticket, such as the one shown in Figure 3. This code is then manually entered into the phone over an Unstructured Supplementary Service Data (USSD) interface. Then USSD is used to purchase “bundles” that provide discounted rates for cellular data bandwidth and can last from a couple hours to 60 days. As all carriers had comparable feature sets and interfaces, we spoke with village residents to learn which carrier had the highest quality and most reliable service, and chose the carrier (Halotel [43]) based on these conversations.

4.1.3 The PlugWatch App. The PlugWatch app was written to keep an active long-running BLE connection to the WiTenergy sensor and to regularly collect power information from the sensor over this connection. Additionally, the app accepted API calls from our central service, logged state, automatically updated, sent periodic heartbeats, restarted on crashes, and rebooted the phone when necessary. The app’s UI was designed to be inaccessible to non-technical users, and had much of its functionality hidden behind passwords so that participants could not change the state of the app.
Figure 4: Number of power measurement packets forwarded from the WiTenergy plug load meter by the PlugWatch app to the cloud service. The size of the crosses is proportional to the number of phones reporting packets each day. PlugWatch reports a power measurement at 1Hz. Therefore, the daily theoretical maximum number of packets is calculated as $\text{number of seconds in a day} \times \text{number of phones reporting any packets during a day}$. After each field officer’s visit to perform smartphone maintenance activities, the number of measurements received increased dramatically, then declined rapidly over time. Field officers performed a number of tasks: rebooting the phone, checking its placement, re-establishing the bluetooth connection, installing available updates, clearing any messages, and emptying the SD card.

**Exception Handling.** Each Java class in PlugWatch used the DefaultUncaughtExceptionHandler to catch all exceptions. On exception, a new thread would spawn and invoke a custom restart class, passing it the exception message and the name of the class that threw the exception. When the restart class woke up, it would either schedule a restart of the app or a reboot of the phone. The decisions to restart or reboot and when to do so were based on a counter, which allowed for a resetting backoff (i.e. app reset actions would be increasingly farther apart until a max number of resets was hit, then the phone would reboot and the counter would start over with a minimum distance).

**Remote Monitoring.** To facilitate remote monitoring of the deployment, we designed a management API into the PlugWatch app. This API performed three functions: querying state, requesting data, and manually resetting the system. API calls could be made over both Google Cloud Messaging [6] and SMS. This dual interface ensured that we could reach the phones as long as either data services or SMS services were online and the PlugWatch app was running.

**Logs.** To access in-depth system information, we maintained several different logs. Because Android’s system logs are ephemeral, we implemented our own logging system, which wrote files to an SD card on the phone. Every application crash, incoming API message, and paired BLE MAC address was saved. Additionally, we kept a complete local copy of the database that contained all measurements from the WiTenergy device. Logs were remotely available via the PlugWatch API. This logging system was only available while the PlugWatch app was running.

**Staying Alive.** During development we observed that when an app registered a background service—which is a service that runs even when the app UI is not being displayed—the OS would close this service after a period of time as part of garbage collection activities. We used four techniques to try to circumvent the automatic garbage collection process:

(i) The app periodically generated notifications in the status bar, transforming the background service that contains the BLE connection and data forwarding logic into a foreground service that is less likely to be killed by the OS under memory pressure [36].

(ii) The app forced the OS to keep the UI open on the screen by restarting the app on crash and boot, disabling navigation buttons, and registering callbacks that relaunched the app whenever the UI was hidden.

(iii) A separate watchdog process spawned on first open and periodically woke up and restarted the primary app process if it was no longer running.

(iv) The app used Android accessibility services [30] to catch and automatically close all dialog boxes launched by the OS by using a heuristic of soft clicking either the only button or the leftmost button in the pop-up.

We note that we are not the first to identify these techniques. These methods were described in various forum posts by developers also struggling to develop loose association applications.
4.2 Data Endpoints

Data were sent to the cloud through HTTP POST requests from the app. These were received by a Node.js server and logged. Debugging information was also sent through the Firebase Realtime Database API [7]. When the phone could not reach the network, it queued both HTTP data and Firebase packets until connectivity was restored. Received measurement data were stored in a PostgreSQL database and an InfluxDB database [14] and visualized using Grafana [18].

5 EVALUATION

We evaluate PlugWatch and determine that adding human interaction increased availability. We then consider the restart and reboot rate, which was much higher than we experienced during testing, and trace the logs captured from each of these events. Finally, we consider the physical state of PlugWatch post-deployment and find screen burn-in and significant swelling in the batteries.

5.1 How Did PlugWatch Perform?

We look at performance as a function of number of packets sent daily. The WiTenergy sensor sends packets over BLE at 1 Hz, leading to 1,382,400 expected packets from our fleet daily (86,400 seconds in a day x 16 phones). As seen in Figure 4, the two best days of the deployment did not achieve even half of the theoretical maximum. When we collected the WiTenergy devices at the end of the deployment, the devices were functional, leading us to conclude most failures were due to phone failures. These failures were not seen during lab tests in the US.

Variable Performance. Figure 4 shows roughly monthly spikes in aggregate packet delivery. These are from field officers visiting the households once a month to perform tasks including rebooting the phone, checking its placement, re-establishing the Bluetooth connection, installing available PlugWatch updates, clearing any messages, and emptying the SD card. We would expect these in-app routines that restart the app and reboot the phone upon errors to reset the state of PlugWatch and lead to the same increase in performance as the activities performed by field officers. However, we did not observe this in practice.

We have not been able to explain this discrepancy based on the logs gathered by the PlugWatch app. We only have logs from times when PlugWatch was running, so we have no visibility into the system state in situations where the app failed to start. This opacity is enforced by Android’s design, which writes logs to a ring buffer that is reset on reboot.

Connectivity Management. We found management of a cellular phone fleet more challenging than anticipated. The cellular network provider had no available interface to manage groups of phones or their collective data. Instead, data had to be added to each phone individually through a USSD interface. This posed a problem as USSD numbers do not work remotely, requiring us to employ a human on the ground to add data to the phones each month. Furthermore, the lack of an interface to determine if a network was experiencing transient failures or if a SIM card had insufficient credit made it difficult to distinguish cellular network failures from app failures.

PlugWatch Lifespan. Restarts and reboots occurred primarily due to BLE stack errors, dead processes getting caught by the app watchdogs, and timeouts between receiving packets from the WiTenergy device. Together, the OS killing processes and the BLE stack malfunctioning led to the highest number of restarts and reboots, and are behaviors that were not broadly observed in laboratory tests. The non-homogeneity of restart rates is shown in Figure 5, and all significant error logs are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Errors that caused app restarts or phone reboots. Multiple errors may be logged for the same error event. The most common error (row 1) describes the watchdog process waking up, finding the primary PlugWatch process no longer running, and then restarting it. Rows 2 and 3 are errors related to the Bluetooth stack. Row 4 describes the PlugWatch app timing out due to no BLE packets. The app then decides to either restart itself or reboot the phone using logic described in Section 4.1.3. Rows 5 and 6 describe exceptions in the PlugWatch background service. Row 7 describes the watchdog running within the PlugWatch process waking up, finding the separate watchdog process no longer running, and then restarting it. Row 8 is another BLE timeout state. Row 9 is another exception in the PlugWatch background service. Row 10 describes memory tension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Error Message</th>
<th>Reports</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>watchdog2 rebooting due to dead process</td>
<td>257547</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>gridwatch.plugwatch.wit. PlugWatchService:bluetooth stack died</td>
<td>108563</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>gridwatch.plugwatch.wit. PlugWatchService:unable to start scanning</td>
<td>40714</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>restarting due to timeout</td>
<td>30898</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>service disconnected</td>
<td>28211</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>An error occurred while executing doneBackground()</td>
<td>18643</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>watchdog rebooting due to dead process</td>
<td>3981</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>gridwatch.plugwatch.wit. ConnectionCheckService:restart rebooting due to max timeout</td>
<td>3836</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Exception thrown on SchedulerWorker thread. Add ‘onError’ handling.</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[memory exhausted]</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A phone reboot event took an average of 1 minute and 40 seconds. The total downtime due to restarts and reboots was therefore approximately 4,454 hours (142 from restarts and 4,312 from reboots), or 8% of the total deployment time (24 hours × 142 deployment days × 16 phones). Furthermore, this does not account for the time spent in error states before these restart and reboot events were triggered, nor time spent in unrecoverable states.

5.2 How Did the Phones Fare Physically?

Out of the 16 PlugWatch devices, eight phones exhibited a visibly-swollen battery by the end of the experiment (see Figure 6). We hypothesize that battery problems led to the sharp drop-off in packets around February 5th (Figure 4). The worst battery was swollen to 270% of its normal width. Six of the batteries had swollen to the point where they had pushed the plastic phone backing out of its setting and they were no longer seated in the electrical contacts. We have not identified any recall of the J110H phone or the EB-BJ111ABE battery that suggests that these problems are model-specific. While we did not see any battery issues during an 18-hour heat test in the development phase, longer-term exposure to the hot and humid deployment climate seems to have been sufficient to cause battery swelling.

Ten of the phones experienced some degree of screen burn-in (see Figure 6). The burnt-in image was most often of the home screen, indicating that the app was not correctly pinning itself to the foreground (see Section 4.1.3). The damage was not bad enough to make the phones unusable, but it does significantly detract from any future user experience.

6 LESSONS LEARNED

We seek to draw some conclusions from our experience with the PlugWatch deployment to help inform future efforts. These lessons should be read critically. They are derived from a single deployment of a modest number of phones, although one that is similar in scope to related studies [3, 19, 21]. A small team of academic researchers developed the PlugWatch application, not professional software engineers. However, future research systems are likely to be built by similarly-small research teams and by domain scientists who expect, like we initially did, that smartphone gateways would be as successful in loose association deployments as they have
been in tight association contexts. It is for their sake that
we share our experiences, arguing that the current reality
of deploying such systems may not align with the expected
ease, even for experts. Finally, we lay out a road map toward a
plausible future in which these systems are better supported.

6.1 Human Interactions are Critical
We find that despite our best efforts to liberate the phones
from human interaction, keeping humans in the loop over
the course of the experiment both drastically improved the
functionality of PlugWatch and was ultimately necessary to
keep the phones operational on the network.

Notably, monthly maintenance by field officers had a pos-
tive impact on PlugWatch’s performance. Although we are
not sure which of the interactions was key, it is clear that
the system benefited from a regular human touch. Unfortu-
nately, our design choices around independence prevented
us from taking advantage of this fact once we discovered it.
We intentionally did not design a UI that would allow partic-
ipants to troubleshoot devices themselves, did not include
participant-device interaction in our IRB application, and
screwed the phones into boxes for which the participants
likely did not have screwdrivers. These early decisions ham-
pered our ability later to change the experimental protocol
and allow participants to interact with the phones.

6.2 Physical Environment Matters
Before deploying PlugWatch, we researched how the deploy-
ment circumstances might affect the battery. We attempted to
find a datasheet for the battery but found nearly no informa-
tion from the manufacturer [38]. We found no sources that
described more than a slight possibility of battery swelling;
most discussions focused on the regulating circuitry in the
phone that keeps it safe from overcharging. Given this lim-
ited information, we heat-tested the system by placing a
PlugWatch phone in an oven at 120°F for 18 hours and log-
ging the battery temperature and capacity, as well as any
system reboot events, and found no unusual behavior. De-
spite this test, we were not able to predict the effects of
months spent in the heat and humidity of Zanzibar and the
dangerous battery-swelling that resulted presumably due, at
least in part, to these conditions.

6.3 Seek Supporting Infrastructure
There are a number of tools that might have helped with
provisioning phones, collecting debugging information, en-
suring reliable OTA updates, and remotely accepting per-
missions. These include Google’s own enterprise mobility man-
agement (EMM) tools [8], as well as a number of third party
options [22, 24, 25, 42]. Unfortunately, the Google EMM tools
are only fully supported on Android 5.0 and would not sup-
port our deployment or the nearly half-billion phones loaded
with versions of Android before 5.0, as Figure 7 shows [8].
We did a shallow search for third-party options but did not
ultimately adopt any, in part due to misguided confidence
that we would not need these services (as OTA updates are
provided by the Play Store [34], debugging information is
sent from our software, etc.), and in part due to their cost,
although limited free tiers do exist for some services [22].
We are encouraged that many of these tools did support
our target Android API [22, 24, 25] and we would certainly
consider these tools for a future deployment.

6.4 SIM Management is Hard
Keeping phones on the network is a non-trivial task largely
due to the lack of supporting infrastructure from cellular
providers. There have been many calls for research networks
that change this model, although these networks are still
rare [37]. Collectively, the authors have attempted cellular-
based deployments (between 5 and 20 devices) in four coun-
tries: the United States, Kenya, Tanzania, and Ghana. We
observe from these experiences that:

(i) Purchasing SIMs in high quantities can be difficult. For
example, SafariCom in Kenya and MTN in Ghana limit
the purchase of SIMs to 10 per person. Companies may
purchase more than 10 SIMs, but only after supplying ex-
tensive documentation that requires a multi-day review.
For a deployment in Ghana, we partnered with a local
company to purchase 400 SIMs and were surprised to
learn that each SIM then had to be hand-activated by a
sales representative, a tedious and error-prone process.

(ii) SIMs may be expensive. For example, some US carriers
sell pre-paid non-contract SIM cards for much more than
other countries ($25.00 USD from T-Mobile [40] com-
pared to $0.22 USD from Airtel Tanzania [41]).

(iii) SIMs can be hard to transport. For example, we found
that FedEx and DHL have an policy against shipping
activated SIM cards out of Ghana, making it difficult to
assemble sensors out-of-country.

(iv) SIMs may be difficult to keep funded and operational. For
example, in Kenya, Tanzania, and Ghana, the primary
method for adding airtime and internet was through
scratch-off cards as shown in Figure 3. Some web APIs for
SIM management did exist, but they were not functional
and/or scriptable. In each country, we asked multiple
telecoms for help managing data on fleets of SIMs but all
available tools were cumbersome, not readily available,
and not designed to support scales of hundreds of phones.
6.5 Service Restarts Often Fail

The failure of one peripheral in a gateway should not ideally cause the whole system to fail. A common approach to recover from peripheral failures is to enable the core logic to reset or power cycle peripherals independently. Android does not support this best practice, which can be problematic.

For example, the BLE system used by PlugWatch in Android 4.4.4 regularly crashed or otherwise failed to connect and scan. In a dedicated sensor node, a reasonable solution to these non-deterministic bugs would be to power cycle the BLE peripheral and restart its driver when erroneous behavior is noticed. Although the Android BLE API exposes ways to enable and disable the BLE system, we found that to reliably restart a BLE connection, PlugWatch had to either restart itself or reboot the whole phone. This greatly increased the complexity of the app and is undesirable for many traditional sensing applications, especially on devices that take minutes to reboot.

6.6 OS-Coupled Drivers Not Upgradable

Nearly all modern operating systems (including many embedded OSs) allow for drivers to be replaced and upgraded independent of upgrading the OS kernel itself. Neither of these practices is standard in Android, which leads to hardware not getting critical updates to its peripheral drivers unless the entire OS is ported to that hardware (a process that is guaranteed only for a relatively short period of time). Google’s Project Treble [9], introduced in Android 8.0, attempts to address this problem. However, this does not help non-upgrade-eligible older phones that are already deployed.

6.7 User-Centric Design is Problematic

Recent changes to Android have made things better for the user but worse for loosely associative sensing applications. Android 6.0 added runtime permissions, where a user manually approves each permission-protected functionality. These include many that would be critical for long-running apps, such as accessing the internet, reading phone state, and writing to persistent memory. Android 8.0 (2017) and Android P (2018) both change how background operations work, limiting the OS services that can wake up an app and the sensors a background service can access. Instead, these versions promote a model of foreground services and define foreground services as services that are privileged due to users awareness of them. Ultimately, these changes improve user experience by ensuring that long-running background services do not take more than a fair share of the resources and do not invade user privacy. However, in the context of repurposing phones as long-running gateways or sensor nodes that do not have present users, these changes significantly and artificially constrain the application space.

6.8 You Cannot Future-Proof the Past

Even if future versions of Android were to fix all of the Android-related problems we encountered with PlugWatch, requiring more mature hardware or operating systems translates to abandoning millions of older phones already sold. This not only delays the viability of smartphone gateways until modern operating systems can penetrate the markets, but abandons the most widely-deployed computing resource across the globe. As Figure 7 shows, changing the cut-off for reuse by even a minor version number could result in the consignment of millions of phones to landfills instead of to a productive second life as a gateway.

6.9 Recommendations for the Future

In our ideal vision of the future, once a user decides to discard a functional but old smartphone, they can choose to donate their phone’s body to science by enabling an OTA OS upgrade to a gateway-friendly version of Android. A number of changes could potentially help enable this vision of ubiquitous cellular gateways.

First, Android could be modified so that privileged processes are never killed and are always restarted, permissions are removed, logging is retained, and modal windows are suppressed or could be programmatically handled trivially. A default gateway app could be pre-installed that allows a user to pick endpoints for their data.

Further, this new OS could be made available using the existing OS OTA update mechanisms. This would allow a user to decide to install this version of Android on their old device using a familiar interface when they upgrade to newer hardware. Finally, if a cellular network existed that
white-listed phones running this version of Android, cellular connections could be provided with a different pricing model to the end user. Collectively, this would make reusing phone hardware dramatically easier for many researchers.

7 CONCLUSIONS

Android smartphones are inexpensive, powerful, and ubiquitous computing systems that work in nearly every country, have a mature ecosystem for software development and distribution, support application multi-tenancy, include a rich set of sensors and wireless interfaces, and offer global positioning and network connectivity. It would seem that they are the perfect platform for an unimaginable array of simple and demanding IoT applications, from sensing to perception to wirelessly connecting less capable devices.

And yet, like a petulant toddler, an Android smartphone requires near constant adult supervision. Users must periodically reset the devices when applications hang. Users must manually login to the phones after a reset to launch applications. Users must check and clear modal dialogues. Users must regularly restart crash-prone Bluetooth stacks to reconnect with peripherals. Users must manually upgrade applications over cellular networks. And users must ensure that batteries do not swell or explode from use or abuse.

Knowing these costs upfront might dissuade some from being lured by the very tantalizing—but perhaps ultimately unrealizable—potential of the Android smartphone platform as self-sufficient system for long-running services. The authors, after a year of sunk costs and lost time with Android, abandoned smartphones and in a matter of weeks implemented nearly-identical functionality with custom hardware for an upcoming deployment.
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